

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON  
MEDFORD DIVISION

PAUL LEIGHTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

THREE RIVERS SCHOOL DISTRICT,

Defendant..

---

No. 1:12-cv-1275-CL

**ORDER**

**PANNER, District Judge:**

Magistrate Judge Mark D. Clarke filed a Report and Recommendation, and the matter is now before this court. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B), Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, the district court makes a de novo determination of that portion of the Magistrate Judge's report. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Bus. Mach., Inc., 656 F. 2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981).

Here, Plaintiff objects to the Report and Recommendation, so I have reviewed this matter de novo. I agree with Magistrate Judge Clarke that Plaintiff's motion to amend should be denied.

**CONCLUSION**

Magistrate Judge Clarke's Report and Recommendation (#54) is adopted. Plaintiff's motion to amend (#40) is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 12 day of November, 2014.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Owen M. Panner". The signature is written in a cursive style with a horizontal line underneath the name.

OWEN M. PANNER  
U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE